

# A note on automorphisms of separable closures of commutative rings

**Ryo SAITO\***

(February, 1977)

## §1. Introduction

Throughout this note, all rings are commutative and have an identity element 1. Let  $R$  be a ring,  $\mathcal{Q}$  be a separable closure of  $R$ , and  $G$  be the group of all  $R$ -algebra automorphisms of  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Then a continuous function  $f: X(\mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow X(R)$  ( $x = C(\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow f(x) = C(\mathfrak{p} \cap R)$ ) is defined. Let  $R_{f(x)} = R/I_R(f(x))$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}_{f(x)} = \mathcal{Q} \otimes_R R_{f(x)}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_x = \mathcal{Q}/I_{\mathcal{Q}}(x)$  (Definitions are found in §2.). We can define two canonical epimorphisms as follows.

$$g: \mathcal{Q}_{f(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_x \quad (\omega \otimes r_{f(x)} \rightarrow (\omega r)_x)$$

$$u: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_x \quad (\omega \rightarrow \omega_x)$$

As  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a separable closure of  $R$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}_{f(x)}$  is a locally strongly separable extension of  $R_{f(x)}$ . We set  $u(R) = g(R_{f(x)}) = R(x)$ ; that is  $R(x) = \{r_x \in \mathcal{Q}_x \mid r \in R\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{Q}_x$  is a separable closure of  $R(x)$  (Proposition 1). Let  $G(x)$  be the group of all  $R(x)$ -algebra automorphisms of  $\mathcal{Q}_x$  and  $G_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{\sigma \in G \mid \text{for any idempotent } e \in \mathcal{Q}, \text{ if } e \in \mathfrak{p}, \text{ then } \sigma(e) \in \mathfrak{p}\}$ . Then  $G_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a subgroup of  $G$  (Lemma 2), and any  $\sigma \in G_{\mathfrak{p}}$  induces an automorphism  $\bar{\sigma}$  of  $\mathcal{Q}_x$  (Lemma 3). Let  $\bar{G}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{\bar{\sigma} \mid \sigma \in G_{\mathfrak{p}}\} \subset G(x)$ . The main theme of this note is to investigate the structure of  $G_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ,  $\bar{G}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ,  $G$  and  $G(x)$ .

## §2. Definitions and notations

In this section, insofar as required, we describe definitions and notations which are found in [1] or [3].

(1) A ring is called connected if it has no idempotents except 0 and 1.

(2) A ring extension  $S/R$  is called a strongly separable extension if  $S$  is a separable  $R$ -algebra and a finitely generated projective  $R$ -module. A ring extension  $S/R$  is called a locally strongly separable extension if  $S$  is the direct limit of strongly separable extensions of  $R$ .

(3) Let  $X(R)$  be the space of the connected components of  $\text{Spec}(R)$ . Then  $X(R)$  is a profinite topological space ([3] p. 26). For  $x = C(\mathfrak{p}) \in X(R)$ , we set  $I_R(x) =$  the ideal generated by idempotents of  $\mathfrak{p}$ , where  $C(\mathfrak{p})$  is the connected component

---

\*Lab. of Mathematics, The College of Dairying, Ebetsu, Hokkaido, Japan

of  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } (R)$ .  $I_R(x)$  takes the form of

$$I_R(x) = \{re \mid e \text{ is an idempotent of } \mathfrak{p}, r \in R\}.$$

Further we set  $R_x = R/I_R(x)$ .  $R_x$  is a connected ring and a flat  $R$ -module ([3] pp. 33-34). For an  $R$ -module  $M$ , we set  $M_x = M \otimes_R R_x = M/I_R(x)M$ . For any  $m \in M$ , we identify  $m_x$ ,  $m \otimes 1_x$  and  $\bar{m}$ .

(4) For a ring extension  $S/R$ ,  $S$  is called a componentially locally strongly separable extension if for any  $x \in X(R)$ ,  $S_x$  is a locally strongly separable extension of  $R_x$  ([3] p. 79).

(5) Let  $R$  be a connected ring.  $R$  is called separably closed ring if its only connected strongly separable extension is itself. For a connected ring  $R$ , there exists uniquely up to isomorphisms a ring extension of  $R$  which has the following properties. Its ring extension is

- (a) connected,
- (b) a locally strongly separable extension of  $R$ ,
- (c) and separably closed.

This ring extension is called a separable closure of  $R$ , and is denoted by  $\mathcal{Q}$  ([1] p. 103 and [3] p. 51).

(6) Let  $S$  be a ring (not necessarily connected).  $S$  is called separably closed if for any componentially locally strongly separable extension  $T$  of  $S$ , there exists an  $S$ -algebra homomorphism from  $T$  to  $S$ . If  $S$  is separably closed, then for any  $x \in X(S)$ ,  $S_x$  is separably closed in the sense of (5) ([3] p. 90, 91). Let  $S$  be a componentially locally strongly separable extension of  $R$ .  $S$  is called minimal if for any componentially locally strongly separable extension  $T$  of  $R$ , every  $R$ -algebra homomorphism from  $S$  to  $T$  is a monomorphism ([3] p. 92). For any ring  $R$ , there exists uniquely up to isomorphisms a ring extension of  $R$  which has the following properties. Its ring extension is

- (a) minimal,
- (b) a componentially locally strongly separable extension of  $R$ ,
- (c) and separably closed.

This ring extension is called a separable closure of  $R$ , and is denoted by  $\mathcal{Q}$  ([3] p. 93).

### § 3. Results

Proposition 1.  $\mathcal{Q}_x$  is a separable closure of  $R(x)$ .

Proof By § 2 (3) and (6),  $\mathcal{Q}_x$  is connected and separably closed. As  $I_{\mathcal{Q}}(x)$  is generated by idempotents of  $\mathcal{Q}$ ,  $\text{Ker } g = \{\omega_{f(x)} \in \mathcal{Q}_{f(x)} \mid \omega \in I_{\mathcal{Q}}(x)\}$  is generated by idempotents of  $\mathcal{Q}_{f(x)}$ . Hence by [3] p. 48 Proposition 3.5,  $\mathcal{Q}_x$  is a locally strongly separable extension of  $R(x)$ . Thus  $\mathcal{Q}_x$  is a separable closure of  $R(x)$  (See § 2(5)).

Lemma 2.  $G_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , and if  $C(\mathfrak{p}) = C(\mathfrak{q})$ , then  $G_{\mathfrak{p}} = G_{\mathfrak{q}}$ .

Proof. First we prove that

$$G_p = \{\sigma \in G \mid \text{for any idempotent } e \in \mathcal{Q}, \text{ if } \sigma(e) \in p, e \in p\}.$$

Assume that  $\sigma \in G_p$  and  $\sigma(e) \in p$ . If  $e \notin p$ , then  $1-e \in p$  hence  $\sigma(1-e) = 1-\sigma(e) \in p$ . Thus,  $1 = 1-\sigma(e) + \sigma(e) \in p$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $e \in p$ . Conversely, we assume for  $\sigma \in G$  that for any idempotent  $e \in \mathcal{Q}$ , if  $\sigma(e) \in p$ , then  $e \in p$ . Let  $e \in p$  and  $\sigma(e) \notin p$ .  $p \ni 1-\sigma(e) = \sigma(1-e)$ . Thus,  $1-e \in p$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $\sigma(e) \in p$ . Obviously  $G_p$  is closed under the multiplication. Let  $\sigma \in G_p$ . For any idempotent  $e \in p$ ,  $\sigma(\sigma^{-1}(e)) = e \in p$ . Hence by the first part  $\sigma^{-1}(e) \in p$ . So  $\sigma^{-1} \in G_p$ . Consequently  $G_p$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . When  $C(p) = C(q)$ , the set of idempotents of  $p$  equals the set of idempotents of  $q$  ([3] p. 26). Hence  $G_p = G_q$ .

Lemma 3. Every element  $\sigma \in G_p$  induces an  $R(x)$ -algebra automorphism of  $\mathcal{Q}_x$ . This induced  $R(x)$ -algebra automorphism is written by  $\bar{\sigma}$ .

Proof. Obviously  $\bar{\sigma}(\omega_x) = \sigma(\omega)_x$  is well defined.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Q} & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathcal{Q} \\ u \downarrow & & \downarrow u \\ \mathcal{Q}_x & \xrightarrow{\bar{\sigma}} & \mathcal{Q}_x \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \omega & \longrightarrow & \sigma(\omega) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \omega_x & \longrightarrow & \sigma(\omega)_x = \bar{\sigma}(\omega_x) \end{array}$$

We assume that  $\sigma(\omega)_x = 0$ . Then  $\sigma(\omega) \in I_{\mathcal{Q}}(x)$  and  $\sigma(\omega) = \sigma(\omega)e'$  for some idempotent  $e' \in p$ . So  $\omega = \sigma^{-1}(\sigma(\omega)) = \sigma^{-1}(\sigma(\omega)e') = \omega\sigma^{-1}(e') \in I_{\mathcal{Q}}(x)$  and  $\omega_x = 0$ . Consequently  $\bar{\sigma}$  is a monomorphism. For any  $\omega'_x \in \mathcal{Q}_x$ , we choose  $\omega$  as  $\sigma(\omega) = \omega'$ . Then we have  $\bar{\sigma}(\omega_x) = \sigma(\omega)_x = \omega'_x$  and hence  $\bar{\sigma}$  is an epimorphism.

Let  $\bar{G}_p = \{\bar{\sigma} \mid \sigma \in G_p\}$  and  $G(x)$  be the full group of  $R(x)$ -algebra automorphisms of  $\mathcal{Q}_x$ .

Proposition 4.

(1) For any  $\sigma \in G$ ,  $\sigma$  induces an  $R(x)$ -algebra automorphism of  $\mathcal{Q}_x$  if and only if  $\sigma \in G_p$ .

(2) For any  $\alpha \in G(x)$ ,  $\alpha$  is induced by an element of  $G$  if and only if  $\alpha \in \bar{G}_p$ .

Proof. (1). We assume that  $\sigma$  induces an  $R(x)$ -algebra automorphism  $\bar{\sigma}$  of  $\mathcal{Q}_x$ . Then the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Q} & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathcal{Q} \\ u \downarrow & & \downarrow u \\ \mathcal{Q}_x & \xrightarrow{\bar{\sigma}} & \mathcal{Q}_x \end{array}$$

For any idempotent  $e \in p$ ,  $0 = \bar{\sigma}(e_x) = \sigma(e)_x$ . Hence  $\sigma(e) \in I_{\mathcal{Q}}(x) \subset p$  and  $\sigma \in G_p$ . The converse holds by Lemma 3.

(2). This is trivial.

By [1] p. 107, we know that  $G(x) = \varprojlim G(S)$  where  $S$  is a strongly separable

extension of  $R(x)$  in  $\mathcal{Q}_x$ , and  $G(S)$  is the set of all  $R(x)$ -algebra homomorphisms from  $S$  to  $\mathcal{Q}_x$ .  $G(S)$  is a finite set. For any strongly separable extension  $S$  of  $R(x)$  in  $\mathcal{Q}_x$ , we set

$$u^{-1}(S) = \{\omega \in \mathcal{Q} \mid u(\omega) \in S\}$$

and

$$G(u^{-1}(S)) = \left\{ p \mid \begin{array}{l} p \text{ is an } R\text{-algebra monomorphism from } u^{-1}(S) \text{ to } \mathcal{Q} \\ \text{such that } p(I_{\mathcal{Q}}(x)) = I_{\mathcal{Q}}(x). \end{array} \right\}$$

Each  $u^{-1}(S)$  is an  $R$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{Q}$  and  $\{u^{-1}(S)\}$  is a directed set under inclusion.

Theorem 5.

$$(1) \mathcal{Q} = \varinjlim u^{-1}(S)$$

$$(2) \mathcal{Q}_x = \varinjlim S$$

(3) Any element of  $G(u^{-1}(S))$  induces an element of  $G(S)$ .

$$(4) G_v = \varprojlim G(u^{-1}(S))$$

Proof. (1), (2) and (3) are trivial.

(4) We have

$$\varprojlim G(u^{-1}(S)) = \left\{ (\sigma_{u^{-1}(S)}) \in \prod G(u^{-1}(S)) \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{for any strongly separable} \\ \text{extensions } S_1 \text{ and } S_2 \text{ such} \\ \text{that } S_1 \subset S_2, \sigma_{u^{-1}(S_2)}|_{u^{-1}(S_1)} \\ \text{(restriction map)} = \sigma_{u^{-1}(S_1)}. \end{array} \right\}$$

For any  $\sigma \in G_v$ , obviously  $(\sigma|_{u^{-1}(S)}) \in \varprojlim G(u^{-1}(S))$ . Conversely for any  $(\sigma_{u^{-1}(S)}) \in \varprojlim G(u^{-1}(S))$ , we show the map

$$\sigma: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \quad (\omega \mapsto \sigma_{u^{-1}(S)}(\omega)) \quad (\omega_x \in S)$$

belongs to  $G_v$ . Let  $\omega_x \in S_1$  and  $\omega_x \in S_2$  for strongly separable extensions  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ . Then there exists a strongly separable extension  $S$  such that  $S_1 \subset S$  and  $S_2 \subset S$ , and  $\sigma_{u^{-1}(S_1)}(\omega) = \sigma_{u^{-1}(S)}(\omega) = \sigma_{u^{-1}(S_2)}(\omega)$ . Hence  $\sigma$  is independent of the choice of  $S$ . Since each  $\sigma_{u^{-1}(S)}$  is a monomorphism,  $\sigma$  is a monomorphism. Let  $(\alpha_S)$  be the element of  $G(x)$  such that each  $\alpha_S$  is induced by  $\sigma_{u^{-1}(S)}$ . For any  $\omega' \in \mathcal{Q}$ , there exists  $\omega \in \mathcal{Q}$  such that  $((\alpha_S)(\omega_x)) = \omega'_x$ . We choose a strongly separable extension  $S$  which satisfies  $\omega_x \in S$ . Then  $\sigma_{u^{-1}(S)}(\omega)_x = \alpha_S(\omega_x) = \omega'_x$ ; that is  $\omega' - \sigma_{u^{-1}(S)}(\omega) \in I_{\mathcal{Q}}(x)$ . Hence there exists  $t \in I_{\mathcal{Q}}(x)$  such that  $\omega' - \sigma_{u^{-1}(S)}(\omega) = \sigma_{u^{-1}(S)}(t)$ ; that is  $\omega' = \sigma_{u^{-1}(S)}(\omega + t)$ , and  $\sigma$  is an epimorphism. For any idempotent  $e \in \mathfrak{p}$ ,  $e_x = 0$ . Hence for any strongly separable extension  $S$ ,  $\sigma(e)_x = \sigma_{u^{-1}(S)}(e)_x = \alpha_S(e_x) = 0$  and  $\sigma_{u^{-1}(S)}(e) \in I_{\mathcal{Q}}(x) \subset \mathfrak{p}$ . Consequently  $\sigma \in G_v$ , and

$$\xi: G_v \rightarrow \varprojlim G(u^{-1}(S)) \quad (\sigma \mapsto (\sigma|_{u^{-1}(S)}))$$

and

$$\eta: \varprojlim G(u^{-1}(S)) \rightarrow G_v \quad ((\sigma_{u^{-1}(S)}) \mapsto (\omega \mapsto \sigma_{u^{-1}(S)}(\omega)))$$

are inverse bijections each other.

$G(x) = \varprojlim G(S)$  (resp.  $G_v = \varprojlim G(u^{-1}(S))$ ) is a topological space under the

standard topology (cf. [3] p. 58) ; that is,  $G(x)$  (resp.  $G_v$ ) has the inverse limit topology induced from the discrete topology on each  $G(S)$  (resp.  $G(u^{-1}(S))$ ). On the other hand,  $G(x)$  (resp.  $G$ ) has the finite topology. The element of the subbasis for the neighborhoods of  $\alpha$  (resp.  $\sigma$ ) takes the form of  $\{\beta \in G(x) | \alpha(\omega_x) = \beta(\omega_x)\}$  (resp.  $\{\tau \in G | \sigma(\omega) = \tau(\omega)\}$ ) ([2] p. 29).

Lemma 6.  $G(x)$  (resp.  $G$ ) is a topological group under the finite topology.

Proof.  $G(x)$  (resp.  $G$ ) is a subgroup of the automorphism group  $A$  of  $\mathcal{Q}_x$  (resp.  $\mathcal{Q}$ ) as an Abelian group. Since  $A$  is a topological group under the finite topology ([2] p. 30),  $G(x)$  (resp.  $G$ ) is a topological group under the finite topology.

Proposition 7. In  $G(x)$ , the finite topology is equivalent to the standard topology.

Proof. The fundamental neighborhood system of  $\alpha = (\alpha_s)$  in  $G(x)$  under the standard topology takes the form of

$$U = (\alpha_{s_1} \times \cdots \times \alpha_{s_n} \times \prod_{S \neq S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n} G(S)) \cap G(x).$$

Let  $\{s_{ij} | j=1, 2, \dots, m_i\}$  be the set of generators of the strongly separable extension  $S_i$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ ) as an  $R(x)$ -module. Then we have

$$U = \bigcap_{\substack{s_{ij} \in S_i \\ i=1, 2, \dots, n \\ j=1, 2, \dots, m_i}} \{\beta \in G(x) | \beta(s_{ij}) = \alpha(s_{ij})\}.$$

Hence the finite topology is stronger than the standard topology. Conversely, let  $V = \{\beta \in G(x) | \beta(s) = \alpha(s)\}$  be any element of the subbasis of neighborhood of  $\alpha$  and  $s \in S_1$  for some strongly separable extension.

For any  $\beta \in V$

$$V = \{\beta \in G(x) | \beta(s) = \alpha(s)\} \supset (\beta_{s_1} \times \prod_{S \neq S_1} G(S)) \cap G(x) \ni \beta$$

Hence  $V$  is an open set in  $G(x)$  under the standard topology and the standard topology is stronger than the finite topology.

Corollary 8. (cf. [1] p.107)  $G(x)$  is a topological group under the standard topology.

Proposition 9.  $G_v$  is a closed topological subgroup of  $G$  under the finite topology.

Proof. We will show that  $G_v^c$  (complement in  $G$ ) is open. Assume that  $\sigma \in G_v^c$ . Then there exists an idempotent  $e \in \mathfrak{p}$  such that  $\sigma(e) \notin \mathfrak{p}$ .  $\{\tau \in G | \tau(e) = \sigma(e)\}$  is an open set in  $G$  which contains  $\sigma$ , and we have  $\{\tau \in G | \tau(e) = \sigma(e)\} \cap G_v = \emptyset$ . Thus  $G_v$  is closed in  $G$ .

Proposition 10. In  $G_v$ , the standard topology is stronger than the finite topology.

Proof. Using Theorem 5(1) and (4), we can prove in a similar manner to the second

part of Proposition 7.

Proposition 11.  $G_p$  is a topological group under the standard topology.

Proof. We will show that the maps  $G_p \times G_p \rightarrow G_p((\sigma, \tau) \rightarrow \sigma\tau)$  and  $G_p \rightarrow G_p(\sigma \rightarrow \sigma^{-1})$  are continuous. For any element of the subbasis of the neighborhoods  $(\sigma\tau|u^{-1}(S_1) \times \prod_{S \neq S_1} G(u^{-1}(S))) \cap G_p$  of  $\sigma\tau$ , we take the neighborhood

$(\tau|u^{-1}(S_1) \times \prod_{S \neq S_1} G(u^{-1}(S))) \cap G_p$  of  $\tau$  and the neighborhood

$(\sigma|u^{-1}(S_2) \times \prod_{S \neq S_2} G(u^{-1}(S))) \cap G_p$  of  $\sigma$ .

Here  $S_2 = \bar{\tau}(S_1)$ .

Since  $\tau(u^{-1}(S_1)) \subset u^{-1}(\bar{\tau}(S_1)) = u^{-1}(S_2)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\sigma|u^{-1}(S_2) \times \prod_{S \neq S_2} G(u^{-1}(S))) \cap G_p) ((\tau|u^{-1}(S_1) \times \prod_{S \neq S_1} G(u^{-1}(S))) \cap G_p) \\ & \subset (\sigma\tau|u^{-1}(S_1) \times \prod_{S \neq S_1} G(u^{-1}(S))) \cap G_p \end{aligned}$$

Hence the map  $G_p \times G_p \rightarrow G_p((\sigma, \tau) \rightarrow \sigma\tau)$  is continuous. For any element of the subbasis of the neighborhoods  $(\sigma^{-1}|u^{-1}(S_1) \times \prod_{S \neq S_1} G(u^{-1}(S))) \cap G_p$  of  $\sigma^{-1}$ , let  $\bar{\sigma}^{-1}(S_1) = S_2$ . Since  $\sigma^{-1}(u^{-1}(S_1)) \subset u^{-1}(\bar{\sigma}^{-1}(S_1)) = u^{-1}(S_2)$ , we have

$$\left[ (\sigma|u^{-1}(S_2) \times \prod_{S \neq S_2} G(u^{-1}(S))) \cap G_p \right]^{-1} \subset (\sigma^{-1}|u^{-1}(S_1) \times \prod_{S \neq S_1} G(u^{-1}(S))) \cap G_p$$

Hence the map  $G_p \rightarrow G_p(\sigma \rightarrow \sigma^{-1})$  is continuous.

From the above considerations, we have the following Theorem.

Theorem 12. Let  $G_p[s]$  and  $G_p[f]$  be topological groups under the standard topology and the finite topology respectively. Then the following arrows are continuous.

$$G_p[s] \xrightarrow{1_{G_p}} G_p[f] \xrightarrow{-} G(x)$$

Proof.  $1_{G_p}$  is continuous by Proposition 10. For any element of the subbasis of the neighborhoods  $V = \{\alpha \in G(x) | \alpha(\omega_x) = \bar{\sigma}(\omega_x)\}$  of  $\bar{\sigma}$ ,  $U = \{\tau \in G_p | \tau(\omega) = \sigma(\omega)\}$  is a neighborhood of  $\sigma$ . And for any  $\tau \in U$ , we have  $\bar{\tau} \in V$ . Hence  $-$  is continuous.

Corollary 13. If  $G(u^{-1}(S))$  is a finite set for each  $S$ ,  $\bar{G}_p$  is a closed subgroup of  $G(x)$ .

Proof. By the assumption  $G(u^{-1}(S))$  is compact and hence  $G_p = \varprojlim G(u^{-1}(S))$  is compact. Hence  $\bar{G}_p$  is compact and closed  $G(x)$  (cf. [1] p. 107).

Proposition 14. If  $I_{\mathcal{Q}}(x)$  is a direct summand of  $\mathcal{Q}$ , every  $R(x)$ -algebra monomorphism  $\sigma$  from  $u^{-1}(S)$  to  $\mathcal{Q}$  which fixes the elements of  $I_{\mathcal{Q}}(x)$  is extended to an auto-

morphism of  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

Proof. By the assumption that  $\mathcal{Q} = I_{\mathcal{Q}}(x) \oplus L$  (as  $\mathcal{Q}$ -module), we have  $u^{-1}(S) = I_{\mathcal{Q}}(x) \oplus (L \cap u^{-1}(S))$  (as  $u^{-1}(S)$ -module) and  $S \cong u^{-1}(S) / I_{\mathcal{Q}}(x) \cong L \cap u^{-1}(S)$  (as  $R(x)$ -algebra). Let  $1 = e + f$  ( $e \in I_{\mathcal{Q}}(x), f \in L \cap u^{-1}(S)$ ). Since  $e + f = 1 = \sigma(1) = \sigma(e) + \sigma(f) = e + \sigma(f)$ ,  $\sigma(f) = f$ . For any  $k \in L \cap u^{-1}(S)$ , since  $k = kf$ ,  $\sigma(k) = \sigma(k)\sigma(f) = \sigma(k)f \in L$ . Hence  $\sigma(L \cap u^{-1}(S)) \subset L$ . The  $R(x)$ -algebra homomorphism  $\bar{\sigma}$  from  $S$  to  $\mathcal{Q}_x$  which is induced by  $\sigma$  is extended to an automorphism  $\tilde{\sigma}$  of  $\mathcal{Q}_x$  ([1] p. 106).

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 L & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathcal{Q}/I_{\mathcal{Q}}(x) & \xrightarrow{q} & \mathcal{Q}_x & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\sigma}} & \mathcal{Q}_x & \xrightarrow{q^{-1}} & \mathcal{Q}/I_{\mathcal{Q}}(x) & \xrightarrow{p^{-1}} & L \\
 i \uparrow & & i \swarrow & & i \swarrow & \nearrow \tilde{\sigma} & & & & & \uparrow \\
 L \cap u^{-1}(S) & \xrightarrow{i} & u^{-1}(S)/I_{\mathcal{Q}}(x) & \xrightarrow{i} & S & & & & & & \\
 & & & & \searrow \sigma & & & & & & \\
 & & & & & & \sigma|_{L \cap u^{-1}(S)} & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

Let  $\sigma^* = p^{-1} \circ q^{-1} \circ \tilde{\sigma} \circ q \circ p$ . Then the map  $\mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  ( $a + b \mapsto a + \sigma^*(b)$ ) ( $a \in I_{\mathcal{Q}}(x), b \in L$ ) is an  $R$ -algebra automorphism and is an extension of  $\sigma$ .

Proposition 15. Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be a separable closure of  $R$  and  $h$  be an  $R$ -algebra endomorphism of  $\mathcal{Q}$  such that for some  $x \in X(\mathcal{Q})$ ,  $h(I_{\mathcal{Q}}(x)) = I_{\mathcal{Q}}(x)$ . Then  $h$  is an automorphism.

Proof. The induced  $R(x)$ -algebra endomorphism  $\bar{h}$  of  $\mathcal{Q}_x$  is an automorphism ([1] p. 106). For any  $\omega' \in \mathcal{Q}$ , there exists  $\omega \in \mathcal{Q}$  such that  $h(\omega)_x = \bar{h}(\omega_x) = \omega'_x$ . Hence  $\omega' - h(\omega) \in I_{\mathcal{Q}}(x)$ . By the assumption, there exists  $t \in I_{\mathcal{Q}}(x)$  such that  $\omega' - h(\omega) = h(t)$ . Hence  $h(\omega + t) = \omega'$ ; that is,  $h$  is an epimorphism. By § 2 (6),  $h$  is a monomorphism ( $\mathcal{Q}$  is minimal). Consequently  $h$  is an automorphism.

## References

- [1] F. DeMeyer and E. Ingraham : Separable algebras over commutative rings. Lecture notes in Math. 181 Springer (1971)
- [2] N. Jacobson : Structure of ring. Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Publications 37 (1956)
- [3] A. R. Magid : The separable Galois theory of commutative rings. Pure and appl. Math. A series of monographs and textbooks 27. Dekker (1974)