

A Note on Algebras and Semiprime Rings

Ryo SAITO*

(May, 1984)

Introduction

Throughout this note, we assume that every ring has an identity element 1, every module is unitary, and a subring of a ring contains the same 1. A ring homomorphism will mean one taking 1 to 1. For a ring A , ${}_A M$ (resp. M_A) denotes the left (resp. right) A -module M and $\text{rad } A$ denotes the prime radical (the intersection of all prime ideals) of A , and A is said to be a semi-prime ring if $\text{rad } A = 0$. As well known, A is semiprime if and only if A has no non-zero nilpotent ideals, and when A is commutative, A is semiprime if and only if A has no non-zero nilpotent elements ([5]).

Let R be a commutative ring and A be an R -algebra. A is said to be a separable algebra if ${}_A \otimes_R A^\circ$ is a projective module where A° is the R -algebra opposite to A ([2], p. 40). Especially, when R is a field, A is separable if and only if the dimension of A as a vector space over R is finite and for any field extension L/R , $\text{rad}(A \otimes_R L) = 0$ ([2], Th. 2.5, p. 50).

On the other hand, the notion of a separable extension field over a field (not necessarily algebraic) has been defined in [4] (p. 166). That is, when L/K is a field extension and Ω is an algebraic closure of L , L is said to be separable over K if it is either of characteristic 0 or if it is of characteristic $p > 0$ and L is linearly disjoint to $K^{p^{-1}}$ over K where $K^{p^{-1}} = \{\omega \in \Omega \mid \omega^p \in K\}$. Moreover, we can see that L is separable over K if and only if for any field extension E/K , $\text{rad}(L \otimes_K E) = 0$ ([4], Th. 21, p. 197 and Th. 23, p. 202).

In [3], [5], [7] and [8], the conditions which insure that a group ring, a twisted group ring, a skew group ring and a crossed product are itself semiprime were studied.

Considering these backgrounds, the purpose of this note is to investigate an algebra A over a commutative ring R which satisfies the following condition (*).

(*) For any R -algebra B such that B is a semiprime ring, $B \otimes_R A$ is also a semiprime ring.

* 酪農科, 数学研究室, 斎藤 暲

Laboratory of Mathematics, The Junior College of Dairying, Ebetsu, Hokkaido 069-01, Japan

§ 1. An R -algebra satisfying the condition (*)

Lemma 1. Let A/B be a ring extension. Then we have

$$B \cap \text{rad } A \subset \text{rad } B.$$

PROOF. Since $\text{rad } A$ (resp. $\text{rad } B$) is the set of all strongly nilpotent elements of A (resp. B) ([5], Prop. 1, p. 56), this is clear.

Lemma 2. (cf. [5], Proof of Lemma 7, p. 164) Let A and B be R -algebras such that the map $B \rightarrow A \otimes_R B (b \rightarrow 1 \otimes b)$ is a monomorphism. Then, regarding B as a subring of $A \otimes_R B$, we have

$$B \cap \text{rad}(A \otimes_R B) = \text{rad } B.$$

Hence if $A \otimes_R B$ is semiprime, then so is B .

Proof. By Lemma 1, $B \cap \text{rad}(A \otimes_R B) \subset \text{rad } B$. Let P be a prime ideal of $A \otimes_R B$ and $xB y \subset P \cap B$ for $x, y \in B$. Then for any $\sum a_i \otimes b_i \in A \otimes_R B$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x(\sum a_i \otimes b_i)y &= \sum a_i \otimes x b_i y \\ &= \sum (a_i \otimes 1)(1 \otimes x b_i y) \in P. \end{aligned}$$

So $x \in P \cap B$ or $y \in P \cap B$, that is, $P \cap B$ is a prime ideal of B . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rad } B &\subset \cap (P \cap B) \\ &= B \cap \text{rad}(A \otimes_R B). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.

(1) If an R -algebra A satisfies the condition (*), then for any commutative R -algebra S , $S \otimes_R A$ satisfies the condition (*) as an S -algebra.

(2) If a commutative R -algebra S and an S -algebra A satisfy the condition (*), then A satisfies the condition (*) as an R -algebra.

(3) Let S_1 and S_2 be commutative R -algebras. If an S_1 -algebra A_1 and an S_2 -algebra A_2 satisfy the condition (*), then $A_1 \otimes_R A_2$ satisfies the condition (*) as an $S_1 \otimes_R S_2$ -algebra.

(4) Let S_1 be a commutative R -algebra, and S_2 be a commutative R -algebra which satisfies the condition (*). Let A_1 be an S_1 -algebra and A_2 be an S_2 -algebra such that $A_1 \otimes_R A_2$ satisfies the condition (*) as an $S_1 \otimes_R S_2$ -algebra. Then, if A_2 is faithful as an R -module and contains R as an R -direct summand, A_1 satisfies the condition (*) as an S_1 -algebra. Especially if A_1 and A_2 are R -algebras such that A_2 is faithful as an R -module, A_2 contains R as an R -direct summand and $A_1 \otimes_R A_2$ satisfies the condition (*) as an R -algebra, then A_1 satisfies the condition (*).

(5) Let A and I' be R -algebras and $A = A \oplus I'$ (a direct sum of rings). Then A satisfies the condition (*) as an R -algebra if and only if A and I'

satisfy the condition (*).

(6) Let A_1 be an R_1 -algebra and A_2 be an R_2 -algebra. If we put $A = A_1 \oplus A_2$ and $R = R_1 \oplus R_2$ (direct sums of rings), we can regard A as an R -algebra under the multiplication $(r_1, r_2)(a_1, a_2) = (r_1 a_1, r_2 a_2)$ where $r_1 \in R_1, r_2 \in R_2, a_1 \in A_1$ and $a_2 \in A_2$. Then A satisfies the condition (*) as an R -algebra if and only if R_1 -algebra A_1 and R_2 -algebra A_2 satisfy the condition (*).

Proof. (1) and (2) are clear.

(3) By (1), $A_1 \otimes_R S_2 (\cong A_1 \otimes_{S_1} S_1 \otimes_R S_2)$ and $S_1 \otimes_R A_2 (\cong S_1 \otimes_R S_2 \otimes_{S_2} A_2)$ satisfy the condition (*) as $S_1 \otimes_R S_2$ -algebras, and hence $A_1 \otimes_R A_2 (\cong A_1 \otimes_{S_1} (S_1 \otimes_R S_2) \otimes_{S_1 \otimes_R S_2} (S_1 \otimes_R S_2) \otimes_{S_2} A_2)$ satisfies also the condition (*).

(4) Let B be any S_1 -algebra which is a semiprime ring. By the assumptions, $B \otimes_R S_2 (\cong B \otimes_{S_1} S_1 \otimes_R S_2)$ is semiprime, and hence $B \otimes_{S_1} A_1 \otimes_R A_2 (\cong B \otimes_{S_1} (S_1 \otimes_R S_2) \otimes_{S_1 \otimes_R S_2} (A_1 \otimes_R A_2))$ is also semiprime. Since R is R -direct summand of A_2 , the map $B \otimes_{S_1} A_1 \rightarrow B \otimes_{S_1} A_1 \otimes_R A_2 (b \otimes a_1 \rightarrow b \otimes a_1 \otimes 1)$ is a monomorphism, and by Lemma 2, $B \otimes_{S_1} A_1$ is a semiprime ring.

(5) Let B be any R -algebra. Then since $B \otimes_R A = (B \otimes_R A) \oplus (B \otimes_R I)$, we have $rad(B \otimes_R A) = rad(B \otimes_R A) \oplus rad(B \otimes_R I)$ and this induces the conclusion.

(6) In this case, R_1 and R_2 satisfy the condition (*) as R -algebras. Let A satisfy the condition (*) as an R -algebra and let B be an R_1 -algebra which is a semiprime ring. Then B can be regarded as an R -algebra under the multiplication $(r_1, r_2)b = r_1 b$ where $r_1 \in R_1, r_2 \in R_2$ and $b \in B$. Since $B \otimes_R A \cong B \otimes_R A_1 \cong B \otimes_{R_1} A_1, B \otimes_{R_1} A_1$ is also a semiprime ring. Hence A_1 satisfies the condition (*) as an R_1 -algebra and for R_2 -algebra A_2 , we can take the same manner. The converse follows from (2) and (5).

Proposition 4. Let A be a central separable R -algebra. Then R is semiprime if and only if A is semiprime.

Proof. Let R be a semiprime ring and \mathfrak{U} be any nilpotent ideal of A . If $\mathfrak{U}^n = 0, (\mathfrak{U} \cap R)^m = 0$ and hence $\mathfrak{U} \cap R = 0$. Therefore $\mathfrak{U} = (\mathfrak{U} \cap R)A = 0$. Conversely, let A be a semiprime ring and \mathfrak{A} be any nilpotent ideal of R . If $\mathfrak{A}^n = 0, (\mathfrak{A}A)^n = \mathfrak{A}^n A = 0$. Hence $\mathfrak{A}A = 0$ and $\mathfrak{A} = 0$. (cf. [2], Cor. 3. 7, p. 54)

A bimodule ${}_A M_B$ is said to be a Morita module if ${}_A M$ and M_B are finitely generated projective, and $A = \text{End } M_B$ and $B = \text{End } {}_A M$.

Lemma 5. Let ${}_A M_B$ be a Morita module. Then A is semiprime if and only if B is semiprime.

Proof. It is well known that there exists a one-one correspondence between the set of ideals of A and the set of ideals of B given by the correspondence $\mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B} = \{b \in B \mid Mb \subset \mathfrak{U}M\}$ where \mathfrak{U} is an ideal of A . Hence if B is semiprime and \mathfrak{U} is a nilpotent ideal of A such that $\mathfrak{U}^n = 0, M\mathfrak{B}^n \subset \mathfrak{U}M\mathfrak{B}^{n-1} \subset \mathfrak{U}^n M = 0$. Therefore $\mathfrak{B}^n = 0$, so $\mathfrak{B} = 0$ and $\mathfrak{U} = \{a \in A \mid aM \subset M\mathfrak{B} = 0\} = 0$.

Proposition 6. The notion of an R -algebra satisfying the condition (*) is Morita invariant.

Proof. Let A and B be R -algebras and ${}_A M_B$ be a Morita module such that A satisfies the condition (*). Then for any R -algebra A , ${}_{A \otimes_R A} M \otimes_R A$ is finitely generated projective and

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Hom}_{B \otimes_R A}(M \otimes_R A, M \otimes_R A) \\ & \cong \text{Hom}_B(M, M) \otimes_R A \\ & = A \otimes_R A. \end{aligned}$$

Hence ${}_{A \otimes_R A} M \otimes_R A \otimes_{B \otimes_R A}$ is a Morita module. So, if A is semiprime, $A \otimes_R A$ is also semiprime and by Lemma 5, $B \otimes_R A$ is semiprime.

Proposition 7. Any central separable algebra satisfies the condition (*).

Proof. Let A be a central separable R -algebra. Then A° is also a central separable R -algebra and therefore ${}_{A^\circ \otimes_R A} A^\circ$ is a Morita module ([2], Th. 3.4, p. 52). Hence for any R -algebra B , ${}_{A^\circ \otimes_R A \otimes_R B} A^\circ \otimes_R B$ is a Morita module, and if B is semiprime, by Lemma 5, $A^\circ \otimes_R A \otimes_R B$ is semiprime. Since R is an R -direct summand of A° ([2], Lemma 3.1, p. 51), by Lemma 2, $A \otimes_R B$ is a semiprime ring.

We can find something in common between the following proposition and the definition of the separability in [4] (p. 166).

Proposition 8. Let R be a commutative ring of prime characteristic p and S be a commutative R -algebra satisfying the condition (*). Moreover, let Ω/R be a commutative ring extension such that Ω is an S -algebra and a semiprime ring. For any integer $i \geq 0$, we put $R^{p^{-i}} = \{\omega \in \Omega \mid \omega^{p^i} \in R\}$. Then S is linearly disjoint to $R^{p^{-i}}$ over R .

Proof. Since Ω is commutative and semiprime, $R^{p^{-i}}$ is semiprime. Hence, by the assumption, $S \otimes_R R^{p^{-i}}$ is also semiprime. We consider the map

$$\pi : S \otimes_R R^{p^{-i}} \rightarrow S R^{p^{-i}} (\sum s_j \otimes \omega_j \rightarrow \sum s_j \omega_j),$$

and let $\sum s_j \otimes \omega_j \in \text{Ker } \pi$ where each $s_j \in S$ and each $\omega_j \in R^{p^{-i}}$. Since p is prime, we have $(\sum s_j \otimes \omega_j)^{p^i} = \sum (s_j \otimes \omega_j)^{p^i} = \sum s_j^{p^i} \otimes \omega_j^{p^i} = \sum s_j^{p^i} \omega_j^{p^i} \otimes 1 = (\sum s_j \omega_j)^{p^i} \otimes 1 = 0$. Since $S \otimes_R R^{p^{-i}}$ is semiprime, $\sum s_j \otimes \omega_j = 0$ and π is a monomorphism. That is, S is linearly disjoint to $R^{p^{-i}}$ over R .

Corollary 9. Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 8, we put $R^{p^{-\infty}} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} R^{p^{-i}}$. Then S is linearly disjoint to $R^{p^{-\infty}}$ over R .

Proof. In the proof of Proposition 8, we can replace p^i by p^k where k is the largest integer i such that $\omega_j^{p^i} \in R$.

Remark. Let π' be the map $R^{p^{-i}} \otimes_R R^{p^{-i}} \rightarrow R^{p^{-i}} (\sum \omega_j \otimes \omega'_j \rightarrow \sum \omega_j \omega'_j)$ and π'' be the map $R^{p^{-\infty}} \otimes_R R^{p^{-\infty}} \rightarrow R^{p^{-\infty}} (\sum \omega_j \otimes \omega'_j \rightarrow \sum \omega_j \omega'_j)$. Then we have $\text{Ker } \pi' \subset \text{rad}(R^{p^{-i}} \otimes_R R^{p^{-i}})$ and $\text{Ker } \pi'' \subset \text{rad}(R^{p^{-\infty}} \otimes_R R^{p^{-\infty}})$ by the similar manner to the proof of Proposition 8 and Corollary 9.

§ 2. Examples

Any central separable algebra satisfies the condition (*) (Proposition 7). Moreover let R be a commutative ring and G be a finite group. If the order $|G|$ is a unit in R , then the group ring RG is a separable R -algebra ([2], p. 41) and for any R -algebra B , since $B \otimes_R RG \cong BG$, we can see that RG satisfies the condition (*) as an R -algebra (cf. [5], Prop. 8, p. 162). But the separable algebras do not always satisfy the condition (*). For example, $Z/(4)$ is a separable Z -algebra (cf. [2], Prop. 1.11, p. 46) but since $Z/(4)$ is not semiprime, $Z/(4)$ does not satisfy the condition (*) as a Z -algebra where Z is the ring of integers. At the same time, this gives an example which insures the fact that the homomorphic image of an algebra satisfying the condition (*) does not always satisfy the condition (*).

In this section, we will give other examples satisfying the condition (*). Lemma 7 of [5] (p. 164) and its proof are our guides.

Let A be a ring, G be a finite group of automorphisms of A and $B = A^G = \{x \in A \mid \sigma(x) = x \text{ for all } \sigma \in G\}$. Then a ring extension A/B is said to be a G -Galois extension if there exist $x_1, \dots, x_n; y_1, \dots, y_n$ in A such that $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \sigma(y_i) = \delta_{1\sigma}$, where $\delta_{1\sigma}$ means Kronecker's delta. In this case, the set $\{(x_i, y_i) \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$ is called a G -Galois coordinate system for A/B ([6], p. 116).

Lemma 10. Let A/B be a G -Galois extension such that $A = x_1 B + \dots + x_n B$ ($x_k \in A, k = 1, \dots, n$). Then there exist y_1, \dots, y_n in A such that $\{(x_k, y_k) \mid k = 1, \dots, n\}$ is a G -Galois coordinate system for A/B .

Proof. Let $\{(a_i, a'_i) \mid i = 1, \dots, m\}$ be a G -Galois coordinate system for A/B . We put $a_i = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k b_{ki}$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$) and $y_k = \sum_{i=1}^m b_{ki} a'_i$ ($k = 1, \dots, n$). Then for any $\sigma \in G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \sigma(y_k) &= \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \sum_{i=1}^m b_{ki} \sigma(a'_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{k=1}^n x_k b_{ki} \right) \sigma(a'_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \sigma(a'_i) \\ &= \delta_{1\sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 11. (cf. [9], Th. 9.10, p. 64) Let A/B be a G -Galois extension such that $G = \{\sigma_1 = 1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n\}$. Then, if there exists $a \in A$ such that $\{\sigma_1(a), \dots, \sigma_n(a)\}$ is a free B -basis of A_B (that is, a is a right G -normal basis element of A/B), then the matrix $(\sigma_i(\sigma_j(a)))$ is regular in the matrix ring $(A)_n$.

Proof. By Lemma 10, there exist y_1, \dots, y_n in A such that $\{(\sigma_k(a), y_k) \mid k = 1, \dots, n\}$ is a G -Galois coordinate system for A/B . That is, $\sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_k(a) \sigma_j(y_k)$

$= \delta_{ij}$. Since $\sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_k(a) \sigma_i^{-1}(\sigma_j(y_k)) = \delta_{i\sigma_i^{-1}\sigma_j} = \delta_{ij}$, we have $\sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_i(\sigma_k(a)) \sigma_j(y_k) = \delta_{ij}$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sigma_i(\sigma_j(a))\right)\left(\sigma_j(y_i)\right) &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_i(\sigma_k(a)) \sigma_j(y_k)\right) \\ &= (\delta_{ij}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we can define a B -homomorphism $f_i: A_B \rightarrow B_B (x \rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_k(y_i x))$ and since $\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(a) f_i(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(a) \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_k(y_i x) = \sum_{k=1}^n (\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(a) \sigma_k(y_i)) \sigma_k(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{1k} \sigma_k(x) = \sigma_1(x) = x$, a pair of sets $\{\sigma_1(a), \dots, \sigma_n(a)\}$ and $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ is a dual basis of A_B . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sigma_j(y_i)\right)\left(\sigma_i(\sigma_j(a))\right) &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_k(y_i) \sigma_k(\sigma_j(a))\right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_k(y_i \sigma_j(a))\right) \\ &= \left(f_i(\sigma_j(a))\right) \\ &= (\delta_{ij}). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 12. Let A/R be a G -Galois extension such that R is contained in the center of A . If A has a normal basis over R , then for any R -algebra B , B is semiprime if and only if $A \otimes_R B$ is semiprime.

Proof. Since R is an R -direct summand of A (cf. [2], p. 85), the map $B \rightarrow A \otimes_R B (b \rightarrow 1 \otimes b)$ is a monomorphism. Hence by Lemma 2, if $A \otimes_R B$ is semiprime, then B is semiprime. Conversely, let B be semiprime, $G = \{\sigma_1 = 1, \dots, \sigma_n\}$ and $\{\sigma_1(a), \dots, \sigma_n(a)\}$ be a normal basis of A . By Lemma 10, there exist y_1, \dots, y_n in A such that $\{(\sigma_i(a), y_i) \mid i=1, \dots, n\}$ is a G -Galois coordinate system for A/R . By the automorphism $\sigma_k \otimes 1: A \otimes_R B \rightarrow A \otimes_R B (x \otimes b \rightarrow \sigma_k(x) \otimes b)$, $rad(A \otimes_R B)$ is sent onto itself. Hence for any $\sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j(a) \otimes b_j \in rad(A \otimes_R B)$, by Lemma 11, we have

$$\begin{aligned} rad(A \otimes_R B) &\ni \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_k(y_i) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_k(\sigma_j(a)) \otimes b_j\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_k(y_i) \sigma_k(\sigma_j(a))\right) \otimes b_j \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{ij} \otimes b_j \\ &= b_i. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Lemma 2, for any $i (i=1, \dots, n)$, we have $b_i \in B \cap rad(A \otimes_R B) = rad B = 0$. Therefore $rad(A \otimes_R B) = 0$.

Proposition 13. (cf. [5], Lemma 7, p. 164) Let S be a commutative separable extension of a semilocal ring R (that is, R contains only finitely many maximal ideals), and we assume that S has no idempotents except 0 and 1 and S is a finitely generated projective R -module. Then for any R -algebra B , B is semiprime if and only if $S \otimes_R B$ is semiprime.

Proof. Since R is an R -direct summand of S ([2], Cor. 2.3, p. 94), the map $B \rightarrow S \otimes_R B (b \rightarrow 1 \otimes b)$ is a monomorphism. Hence by Lemma 2, if $S \otimes_R B$ is semiprime, then B is also semiprime. Conversely, let B be semiprime. By Theorem 2.9 in [2] (p. 98), S can be embedded in a commutative G -Galois extension N of R which has a normal basis ([1], Th. 4.2, p. 27), where G is the group of all automorphisms of N which leave R elementwise fixed. Since N and S are separable extensions of R which are finitely generated projective R -modules, by Theorem 2.4 in [2] (p. 94), N is a separable extension of S which is a finitely generated projective S -module. So, S is an S -direct summand of N and the map $S \otimes_R B \rightarrow N \otimes_S S \otimes_R B \xrightarrow{\cong} N \otimes_R B (s \otimes b \rightarrow 1 \otimes s \otimes b \rightarrow s \otimes b)$ is a monomorphism. By Proposition 12, since $N \otimes_R B$ is semiprime, by Lemma 2, $S \otimes_R B$ is also semiprime.

Proposition 14. Let A be an R -algebra satisfying the condition (*) and $A[x]$ be the ring of polynomials in one variable. Then $A[x]$ satisfies the condition (*) as an R -algebra.

Proof. For any R -algebra B , we have $B \otimes_R A[x] \cong (B \otimes_R A)[x]$. Hence when B is semiprime, we have $\text{rad}(B \otimes_R A[x]) \cong \text{rad}((B \otimes_R A)[x]) = (\text{rad}(B \otimes_R A))[x] = 0$ ([5], p. 59).

Corollary 15. Let A be an R -algebra satisfying the condition (*) and $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be the ring of polynomials in n variables. Then $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ satisfies the condition (*) as an R -algebra, and especially $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ satisfies the condition (*).

References

- 1) Chase, S. U., D. K. Harrison and A. Rosenberg., 1965. Galois theory and Galois cohomology of commutative rings. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 52, pp., 15-33.
- 2) DeMeyer, F., and E. Ingraham., 1971. Separable algebras over commutative rings. Lecture Notes in Math. 181, Springer-Verlag.
- 3) Fisher, J. W., and S. Montgomery., 1978. Semiprime skew group rings. J. Alg. 52, pp., 241-247.
- 4) Jacobson, N., 1961. Lectures in abstract algebra III. Theory of fields and Galois theory. Graduate Texts in Math. 32, Springer-Verlag.
- 5) Lambek, J., 1976. Lectures on Rings and Modules. Chelsea.
- 6) Miyashita, Y., 1966. Finite outer Galois theory of non-commutative rings. J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. Ser. I. XIX, Nos. 3, 4, pp., 114-134.
- 7) Passman, D. S., 1978. Crossed product over semiprime rings. Houston J. Math. 4, pp., 583-592.

- 8) Reid, A., 1976. Semi-prime twisted group rings. J. London Math. Soc. (2), 12, pp., 413-418.
- 9) Tominaga, H. and T. Nagahara., 1970. Galois theory of simple rings. Okayama Math. Lectures.

要 約

可換環 R 上の多元環 A で, 条件

(*) B は R 上の多元環とし, かつ B は半素環であるとき, $B \otimes_R A$ も半素環である。

を満たすものの性質を調べ, またこのような多元環の例について述べた。