

1 Submitted to *Parasitology International*

2 (19 pages with 2 Figures, 3 Tables, 1 Supplementary Material)

3

4 Original Article

5 **Comparison of the intestinal helminth community of the large Japanese field mouse**
6 **(*Apodemus speciosus*) between urban, rural, and natural sites in Hokkaido, Japan**

7

8 Jason L. Anders^a, Minoru Nakao^b, Kenta Uchida^a, Christopher Ayer^a, [Mitsuhiko Asakawa^c](#) Itsuro
9 Koizumi^{a,de}

10

11 ^a Graduate School of Environmental Science, Hokkaido University, N10W5 Sapporo, Hokkaido
12 060-0810, Japan

13 ^b Department of Parasitology, Asahikawa Medical University, Asahikawa, Hokkaido 078-8510,
14 Japan

15 ^c [School of Veterinary Medicine, Rakuno Gakuen University, Ebetsu Hokkaido 069-8501, Japan](#)

16 ^{de} Faculty of Environmental Earth Science, Hokkaido University, N10W5 Sapporo, Hokkaido
17 060-0810, Japan

18

19 Running head: Parasite community of field mice

20

21 *Corresponding author: Jason L. Anders, E-mail: janderslee@ees.hokudai.ac.jp

22

23 Declarations of Interest: None

24

25 **Abstract**

26 Anthropogenic ecosystem modification has affected over 80% of the global land cover.
27 Interest in its effects on wildlife has been growing over the past several decades, specifically in
28 regards to biodiversity and ecosystem functions. Parasites are of particular interest, as they
29 directly impact animal health, and can sometimes be transmitted to humans through the process
30 of zoonosis. However, most studies so far, tended to focus on only one or two parasites, with few
31 looking at the entire community, thereby limiting our understanding of the effects of ecosystem
32 modification on parasitic organisms. In this study, we estimated the intestinal helminth diversity
33 and species richness of the large Japanese field mouse (*Apodemus speciosus*), as well as the
34 prevalence and abundance of each species in two modified ecosystems, a rural agricultural area
35 and an urban park. We then compared them to a natural area to see how they have been altered.
36 We found that diversity, prevalence, and abundance were all highly altered within both modified
37 ecosystems, but generally to a greater degree within the urban park. ~~By looking at the trends and~~
38 ~~life histories of closely related helminth species, it allows us to better elucidate the causes of~~
39 ~~observed changes in prevalence and abundance. However, there was great variation in the~~
40 ~~direction and degree of response of each helminth species, suggesting that generalized trends~~
41 ~~may be difficult to ascertain. However, it remains important to analyze the entire helminth~~
42 ~~community, as intraspecific interactions and the effect that ecosystem modification has on them~~
43 ~~may help determine what species persist. Furthermore, examining helminths residing within the~~
44 ~~same location of the intestine, we found there may be an effect of interaction in addition to~~
45 ~~ecosystem modification. Therefore, the entire helminth community of a host must be investigated~~
46 ~~in order to fully understand the effects of ecosystem modification.~~

47

48 Keywords: Ecosystem modification, Urbanization, Helminth community, *Apodemus speciosus*

49

50

51 1. Introduction

52 Anthropogenic modification of ecosystems has affected over 80% of global land cover,
53 with the two most common forms being the conversion to agriculture and urbanization [1]. This
54 is likely to increase even further as the rate of urbanization in particular, is occurring at an
55 astonishing rate with more than 1.5 million km² of land predicted to be added to cities between
56 the years 2011 and ~~by~~ 2030 [2]. Therefore, interest in understanding how this trend affects
57 various aspects of ecosystems has been growing over the past several decades [3–5]. Studies so
58 far have shown that both agriculture and urbanization significantly simplify and alter ecosystems,
59 cause a general decrease in biodiversity, disrupt ecosystem functions, and can detrimentally
60 affect wildlife health [6,7].

61 The effects of ecosystem modification on parasites is ~~has~~ become of particular interest as
62 they directly affect wildlife health, and can ~~sometimes~~ be transmitted to humans through
63 zoonotic events [3,8,9]. Both urbanization and agricultural practices alter parasite prevalence (%
64 of host population infected) and intensity (number of parasites infecting a single host) of
65 individual species, although there is no consistency between studies, where some have reported
66 an ~~increases~~ and others a ~~decreases~~ [3,9–16]. Although ~~a few~~ studies have investigated the
67 response of helminth communities to ecosystem modification, namely of frogs [12–14], birds

68 [5,15,16], and ~~rodents~~mammals [11,17–20], most focused on only one or two species, usually
69 of particular importance for conservation or public health [3,18,21]. While such case studies are
70 necessary to understand how particular species of immediate concern are affected for the sake of
71 management and control, it severely limits our ~~knowledge in regards to how parasites are~~
72 ~~affected in a more general sense,~~ability to more broadly understand how helminths as a group
73 respond to ecological disturbance~~thus preventing the identification of broad scale trends.~~
74 Furthermore, changes in the community structure of plants and animals are rarely unidirectional,
75 with a complex interaction between species, ~~where some increase and some decrease in~~
76 ~~abundance~~ [22]. Because many parasites depend on multiple hosts and can modify the
77 interactions between them, the effects of ecosystem modification on parasites should be even
78 more complex. ~~Additionally, while previous~~Although some studies have looked at~~investigated~~
79 the parasite community of rodents within urban areas [11,17,23–25], most notably in black and
80 brown rats (*Rattus rattus* and *R. norvegicus* respectively)[17,26–30], only one provided a
81 comparison to a natural area [11]. ~~Instead~~Furthermore, while some studies have ~~compared urban~~
82 ~~parasites communities to those in~~utilized rural areas as their control [18,31–33].; ~~However, using~~
83 ~~rural areas as a reference is~~ this is likely inadequate as a reference, as such areas they have
84 already been modified for various human uses. Without a comparison to relatively undisturbed
85 natural areas, few insights can be gained on how parasite communities are altered by
86 urbanization.

87 In this study, we used the Large Japanese field mouse (*Apodemus speciosus*) as the focal
88 host, to determine how its intestinal helminth community is altered in two anthropogenic
89 modified ecosystems, a rural agricultural area and an urbanized city, as compared to a more
90 undisturbed natural area (i.e. a reference site). Rodents are an ideal host organism for such

91 studies due to their limited dispersal [34,35], allowing us to assume with near certainty that they
92 experience the pressures of a single ecosystem type, unlike birds, the most common taxonomic
93 group used in urban ecology studies [5]. We estimated helminth diversity and species richness,
94 as well as prevalence and abundance (number of individuals of a single parasite species per host
95 including uninfected hosts) of each parasitic helminth species in each type of ecosystem (i.e.
96 natural, rural, and urban). We then compared all parasite community metrics in the modified
97 ecosystems to the natural area using statistical models. We expected that the intestinal helminth
98 community would be highly altered within both modified ecosystems. Urban areas are
99 considered the most heavily modified ecosystems [36], causing a higher degree of forest
100 fragmentation [37], altered trophic interactions [3,9] and others, all of which can affect parasite
101 transmission and survival. Therefore, we expected the largest degree of alteration to occur
102 within the urbanized city, so that each species would exhibit an increasing or decreasing trend in
103 both prevalence and abundance going from natural to urban.

104

105 **2. Materials and Methods**

106 *2.1 Host species*

107 The Large Japanese field mouse (*A. speciosus*) is a common small rodent throughout
108 Japan. It is primarily a forest dweller in Hokkaido, but also commonly found in fields. The field
109 mouse is an omnivore that consumes a wide variety of food, although primarily insects in
110 summer, and plants and seeds in autumn and winter [38]. Females of this species are territorial
111 and solitary, but males are not [39].

112 *2.2 Study sites*

113 This study was conducted in the Tokachi region on the island of Hokkaido, northern
114 Japan. Animals were collected from three distinct ecological categories (6 natural, 3 rural, and 1
115 urban sites; Supplementary Material 1) in order to determine if differing types of modified
116 environments affect the parasite community to different degrees. The natural sites were located
117 in the hills 9 km north east of the city of Obihiro, and situated next to the pristine protected area
118 of Osarushinai Forest (between 42°57'47.42" to 42°58'33.24 N and 143°17'53.88" to
119 143°19'26.80 E). The hills themselves are a patchwork of logging sites with planted Japanese
120 larch (*Larix kaempferi*) and ~~undisturbed habitat~~ secondary forest. However, logging has almost
121 entirely ceased, and most previously logged patches have been recolonized by native trees and
122 plants. The collection sites were located within the ~~undisturbed secondary~~ deciduous forest, at
123 least 1km from any inhabited areas or agricultural fields, and up in elevation as compared to any
124 locations of potential run-off of pollutants. The rural sites were forest fragments surrounded by
125 agricultural fields about 10km south of Obihiro (between 42°47'52.42" to 42°48'39.12" N and
126 143°5'43.49" to 143°6'39.41" E), where Chinese yam, onions, daikon radish, and wheat are
127 primarily grown 6 months out of the year, but agricultural practices cease during the long and
128 cold winters. The urban animals were collected from Tsuda Park (42°55'11.40" N, 143°7'32.80"
129 E); ~~a park located~~ within Obihiro, which is surrounded by major roads and a high density of
130 buildings with very little if any vegetation outside the park limits. The park itself consists
131 mostly of a managed forest with multiple pathways running through it, and a small area in the
132 south west corner that has been cleared of trees with basic structures for lounging. There is also a
133 small baseball field in the north east corner. The forests at all sites where the mice were collected
134 were primarily composed of deciduous trees, with the underbrush largely consisting of dwarf
135 bamboo (*Sasa kurilensis*) and leaf litter, and their elevation below 500 meters. Specific site

136 coordinates ~~and~~, number of traps nights, and the number of *A. speciosus* that were captured can
137 be found in Supplementary Material 1B. The number of *Apodemus argenteus* captures was also
138 included in Supplementary Material 1B, as it is a closely related species that often resides within
139 the same habitat patches as our focal host. However, due to the low number of individuals found,
140 it is not discussed within the present study. ~~and~~ Lastly, additional information on the rural and
141 urban sites is available from past studies [40,41].

142 2.3 Sampling of hosts and parasites

143 The capturing of rodents was confined to early summer (June 15th to July 17th, 2016) to
144 avoid seasonal variation in the parasite community. Sherman traps baited with Oatmeal were
145 used to capture the animals. At each site, approximately 40 traps spaced 10 meters apart in a 4 by
146 10 grid pattern were set for an average of 3 days, except for one natural site which had 80 traps
147 and one other with 50 due to low population density. Traps were checked twice a day (i.e. early
148 morning and evening), and those with a captured animal were replaced with a fresh trap.

149 Upon capture, each individual was identified, euthanized by cervical dislocation, sexed,
150 weighed for a rough estimation of age [42], given an ID, and frozen at -20C until laboratory
151 examination. The digestive tract was removed and carefully checked for helminths under a stereo
152 microscope (model: Olympus SZX10), ~~and a~~ All parasites were preserved in 70% ethanol. For
153 morphological identification, nematodes and acanthocephalans were cleared with creosote, and
154 examined under an Olympus BX50 microscope. Trematodes were stained with acetocarmine and
155 cestodes were stained with alum carmine before examination as described in Nakao et al. [43]
156 ~~[40]~~ and Haukisalmi et al. [44] ~~[41]~~ respectively. Additionally, trematodes were analyzed
157 genetically using nuclear 28S ribosomal DNA (rDNA) and mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase

158 subunit 1 (*cox1*) as described in Nakao et al. [43]-[40]. Cestodes were also identified genetically
159 using 28S nuclear rDNA as described in Haukisalmi et al. [44]Ha-[41]. All cestodes were
160 grouped together for statistical analysis due to the low number found and their similar life
161 histories.

162

163 *2.4 Statistical analyses*

164 Parasite richness, the number of distinct types of parasites found in a habitat, was estimated for
165 each type of ecosystem. Parasite diversity was estimated using the Shannon-Wiener diversity
166 index (H') where the larger the number indicates higher diversity [45]. For each species of
167 parasite found, prevalence and mean abundance were estimated for each type of ecosystem.

168 All statistical models were analyzed using R version 3.4.3 (The R Foundation for
169 Statistical Computing 2017; available at www.R-project.org). All figures were created with the R
170 package “ggplot2”. Difference in prevalence between ecosystem type (i.e. natural, rural, and
171 urban) was first tested using a Generalized Linear Model (GLM) with binomial distribution with
172 a logit link using the R package “lme4”. Infection status was the response variable, and
173 ecosystem type, sex, and host weight the explanatory variables. The helminth species that could
174 not be analyzed using the standard GLM due to perfect separation of the coefficients as
175 determined using the R package “safeBinaryRegression”, were re-analyzed using Firth’s bias-
176 reduced logistic regression with the same variables [46]. The difference in Pparasite abundance
177 compared between ecosystem type was first tested for using a GLM with poisson distribution
178 where aAbundance was the response variable, with ecosystem type, sex, and host weight as
179 explanatory variables. After checking for overdispersion of the model using the R package

180 “AER”, abundance was re-analyzed using a GLM with negative binomial distribution with the
181 same variables. The negative binomial and poisson GLMs were then compared using a log-
182 likelihood ratio test in the R package “lmtree”. In addition, due to the large number of zero’s
183 typically seen in parasite abundance data, both a zero-inflated poisson (ZIP) and a Zero-inflated
184 negative binomial (ZINB) model were used to re-analyze the abundance of each species. The
185 ZIP and ZINB models were compared using a log-likelihood ratio test, as well as compared to
186 the GLM equivalents using the vuong test. The best model was then selected based on the
187 comparative statistics. Lastly, those helminth species for which abundance could not be analyzed
188 using these models due to perfect separation of the coefficients, were analyzed using a Man-
189 Whitney U test. However, this prevented us from including host sex or weight in the
190 analysis.~~zero inflated model with negative binomial distribution (ZINB) using the R package~~
191 ~~“pscl” after checking for overdispersion of the GLM equivalent model using the R package~~
192 ~~“AER”. Abundance was the response variable, with ecosystem type, sex, and host weight as~~
193 ~~explanatory variables. A GLM with negative binomial distribution was used to compare the~~
194 ~~abundance of *Heligmonoides speciosus* due to nearly 100% prevalence, and therefore a ZINB~~
195 ~~was not appropriate. All statistical analyses were run separately for each parasite species.~~
196 ~~*Heligmosomoides kurilensis* was omitted from abundance analysis, as no individuals were found~~
197 ~~in the natural area. The prevalence of *H. speciosus* could not be analyzed with the binomial~~
198 ~~model due to all but two host individuals being infected. Both prevalence and abundance of~~
199 ~~*Syphacia agraria* could not be compared between the natural and rural sites due to no individuals~~
200 ~~being found in the rural area. Acanthocephalans and *Heligmosomoides desportesii**Syphacia*~~
201 ~~*emileromani* were also omitted from the prevalence and abundance models due to only one~~

202 individual being infected with each, ~~as well as the trematode *Brachylaima asakawai*, due to only~~
203 ~~1 individual in each ecosystem type being infected.~~

205 3. Results

206 3.1 Parasites detected

207 A total of 67 *A. speciosus* were examined in this study consisting of 20, 23, and 24 mice
208 from the natural, rural, and urban areas respectively. Within the intestine, ~~57~~ species of
209 Nematoda were identified (*Heterakis spumosa*, *Syphacia emileromani*, *Syphacia agraria*,
210 *Heligmosomoides kurilensis*, ~~*Heligmosomoides desportesii*~~, and *Heligmonoides speciosus*), 1
211 species of Trematoda (*Brachylaima asakawai*), 1 species of Acanthocephala (*Moniliformies* sp.),
212 and 2 species of ~~Cestoda~~cestodes (*Microsomacanthus* sp. and *Catenotenia* sp.) (Table 1).

214 3.2 Diversity

215 Intestinal helminth richness was ~~nearly identical among the three~~ lower in both types of
216 modified ecosystems, with it being lowest in the urban park (natural = 8, rural = ~~7~~~~10~~, and urban
217 = ~~5~~~~8~~ species, Table 2). Shannon-Wiener diversity was most altered within the urban area
218 ($H' = \underline{0.93391}$ ~~0.023~~) where it was twice as high as compared to the natural (i.e. reference) area
219 ($H' = 0.4$ ~~61481~~), and only moderately higher in the rural area ($H' = 0.$ ~~6743724~~) as we expected,
220 though the trend was opposite to richness (Fig. 1 and Table 2). Due to an extremely high
221 abundance of *H. speciosus* relative to other species in both the natural and rural areas, thereby
222 biasing the diversity estimate, diversityit was re-analyzed while omitting this species.

223 Subsequently, H' became nearly equal in all three ecosystem types with 0.~~7412906~~, ~~0.87561269~~,
224 and 0.~~7224983~~ at the natural, rural, and urban sites respectively, though it was highest within the
225 rural sites, (Fig. 1 and Table 2).

226

227 3.3 Prevalence and Abundance

228 Although host weight and sex are not the main concern in this study, we found some
229 significant effects on prevalence and abundance (Table 3). Host weight, and by proxy age, was a
230 significant factor ~~forexplaining~~ the prevalence of ~~twothree~~ (*H. spumosa*, *S. emileromani*, and *H.*
231 *kurilensis*) of the five nematode species, as well as the cestode *Microsomacanthus sp.*, but not
232 cestodes in general (Table 3). It was also significant in explaining the abundance of cestodes, as
233 well as the nematodes *S. emileromani*, *H. spumosa* and *H. speciosus* (Table 3). While ~~H~~ host sex,
234 however, was only a significant factor in explaining the prevalence of ~~cestodes~~ *H. kurilensis*,
235 Cestoda spp., *Microsomacanthus*, and *B. asakawai*, it failed to predict abundance in all helminths
236 except *H. spumosa*., ~~whereas it was significant for the abundance of *S. emileromani*, *H.*~~
237 ~~*kurilensis*, and *H. speciosus*~~ (Table 3).

238 We found the effect of ecosystem type on helminth prevalence varied greatly between
239 species (Fig. 2A, Tables 1 and 3). Both species of *Syphacia* as well as *Catenotaenia sp.* showed
240 an equal decrease in both the rural and urban areas due to being present solely within the natural
241 area, significantly so only for *S. agraria*, partially agreeing with our hypothesis (Table 1 and 3).
242 The nematode *H. kurilensis* and Cestoda spp. were the only helminths to follow our prediction,
243 with both showing significant differences in prevalence (Fig. 2A, Table 1 and 3). However,
244 while the prevalence of Cestoda spp. decreased in the modified ecosystems, *H. kurilensis*

245 increased (Fig. 2A, Table 1 and 3). While *H. spumosa* slightly decreased in prevalence in both
246 modified ecosystems, it was lowest in the rural agricultural area, partially disagreeing with the
247 expected trend (Fig. 2A, Table 1 and 3). The cestode *Microsomacanthus sp.* was the only
248 helminth to have highest prevalence within the rural area and lowest within the urban park,
249 completely disagreeing with our hypothesis, although this comparison was not statistically tested
250 (Fig. 2A, Table 1 and 3). *H. speciosus* was the only species that exhibited almost no change, with
251 all but two hosts in this study being infected regardless of ecosystem type (Fig. 2A, Table 1 and
252 3).

253 _____ The change in helminth abundances within the modified ecosystems were found to be
254 just as variable, with the abundance of many species mirroring the change in their prevalence
255 (Fig. 2, Table 1 and 3). The abundance of both species of *Syphacia*, as well as *Catenotaenia sp.*,
256 similar to their prevalence, exhibited equal changes within both modified ecosystems due to no
257 individuals being found in either the rural or urban habitats (Table 1). However, the change in *S.*
258 *agraria* was the only statistically significant comparison (Table 3). The nematodes *H. kurilensis*
259 and *H. speciosus* had the largest changes within the urban area, and intermediate in the rural area,
260 but in opposite directions, with *H. kurilensis* increasing and *H. speciosus* decreasing in
261 abundance (Fig. 2B, Table 1 and 3). Cestoda spp. exhibited significantly increased abundance in
262 the rural area as compared to the natural forest, and a nearly significant decrease in the urban
263 park, opposite of what was expected (Fig 2B, Table 1 and 3). While the Cestode
264 *Microsomacanthus sp.* showed the same trend when analyzed separately, it was not significant
265 (Table 1 and 3). *H. spumosa* abundance was slightly but significantly higher in the rural area as
266 compared to the natural forest, but not in the urban park (Fig. 2B, Table 1 and 3). The trematode

267 *B. asakawai* was the only helminth with very little change in abundance within either modified
268 environment (Table 1 and 3).

269 ~~For the comparison of three different ecosystems, overall results were consistent to our~~
270 ~~initial prediction with some mixed results (Fig. 2, Tables 1 and 3). In terms of prevalence, three~~
271 ~~parasites (*S. agraria*, *H. kurilensis*, and cestodes) supported our prediction that prevalence would~~
272 ~~be most significantly altered at the urban site (Fig. 2, Tables 1 and 3). *H. kurilensis* was the only~~
273 ~~species to increase in prevalence in both modified ecosystems, while the other two decreased.~~
274 ~~For abundance, *S. agraria*, and *H. speciosus* followed our expectation of being the most altered~~
275 ~~within the urban site (Fig. 2, Tables 1 and 3). *H. speciosus* showed a particularly clear pattern~~
276 ~~with mean abundance decreasing by 75 percent at the urban site as compared to the natural area.~~
277 ~~Similar to prevalence, *H. kurilensis* is the only species that had higher abundance in both~~
278 ~~modified ecosystems, with the highest in the urban site (Fig. 2 and Table 1), although it could not~~
279 ~~be tested using our statistical models. *S. emileromani* and cestodes, however, had the highest~~
280 ~~amount of alteration of abundance in the rural area as opposed to the urban site (Fig. 2, Table 1~~
281 ~~and 3).~~

283 4. Discussion

284 While anthropogenic modification of ecosystems generally decreases the diversity of
285 animals[4,7], species richness of intestinal helminths in mammals has been shown to increase
286 [13,46,47, but see Marcogliese 48]. This is particularly true in response to forest fragmentation,
287 logging, and agricultural practices [11,47,48], though urban areas remain understudied [11].
288 However, in the present study, we found that species richness of intestinal helminths ~~was~~

289 decreased in both modified ecosystems, with it being lowest in the urban park. This trend can
290 partially be explained by the reduction of cestodes, as they have a complex life cycle that
291 requires one or more intermediate hosts, typically an arthropod. Helminths with complex life
292 cycles are known to be ~~are~~ highly susceptible to local extirpation when biodiversity of free-living
293 species decreases [50,51], as is common in modified environments [4,7]. As the loss of suitable
294 habitat is highest within urban areas [52], it increases the potential loss of a necessary
295 intermediate host, thereby ~~. The loss of a single one of its hosts will preventing the helminths~~
296 persistence within the ~~environment~~ecosystem [50,51]. ~~Because the loss of suitable habitat is~~
297 highest within urban areas [51], it increases the potential loss of a necessary intermediate
298 hosts. However, this trend does not hold true for all helminths with complex life cycles, as the
299 trematode *B. asakawai*, which utilizes rodents as the final host [53], changed little in either
300 prevalence or abundance within this study. *B. asakawai* was described using individuals
301 collected from the small snail *Discus pauper*, largely from urban parks [53]. Because *D. pauper*
302 serves as both the first and second intermediate host and is likely resistant to anthropogenic
303 influences to a certain degree, it allows *B. asakawai* to persist. ~~unchanged, and species diversity~~
304 was highest in the urban area. We also found species richness to be highest within the rural forest
305 fragments imbedded within an area predominately composed of agricultural crop fields, similar
306 to previous parasitological studies of rodents [13,45]. This may indicate that anthropogenic
307 modification affects parasite communities differently than animals due to complex host-parasite
308 interactions. Also, higher parasite diversity may not necessarily be a good indicator of ecosystem
309 health, because our reference site had the lowest species diversity when compared to both
310 modified environments. The diversity index, however, was affected significantly by a single

311 ~~species of nematode, *H. speciosus*. Therefore, analysis of species richness and diversity was~~
312 ~~insufficient within this study.~~

313 Nematodes were not entirely exempt from disappearance within the modified
314 environments, most notably, both species of *Syphacia*, despite having a simple life cycle in
315 which transmission occurs through the oral-fecal route. Because *S. emileromani* primarily
316 parasitizes the closely related small Japanese field mouse (*Apodemus argenteus*) [54], the single
317 individual *A. speciosus* infected within the natural forest was likely accidental. On the other hand,
318 *S. agraria* commonly parasitizes *A. speciosus* and may have been negatively affected by
319 pollutants through direct application of pesticides and herbicides for agricultural practices or
320 park management, or indirectly through runoff [12,49,51]. While studies have shown highly
321 variable responses of parasites to pollutants [49], those of the genus *Syphacia* that parasitize
322 rodents were found to be negatively affected by herbicides [19] and petrochemicals [20],
323 presumably through direct exposure of the eggs within the environment or consumption by the
324 host [49,51, but see Tersago et al. 55]. The effect of these chemicals may be further compounded
325 by Routine population crashes of many small rodents [51], including *Apodemus spp.* in
326 Hokkaido [52], can lead to local extirpation of obligate parasites within forest fragments, as the
327 uninfected hosts may be the ones to persist. Furthermore, forest fragmentaion, as it greatly
328 reduces dispersal of small rodents *A. speciosus* [34,35], preventing the reintroduction of
329 helminths.- However, it must be noted that the lack of *S. agraria* in the urban area in this study
330 may be due to chance, as there was only a single urban park in which we captured the host *A.*
331 *speciosus*.Therefore, more generalist parasites such as *S. emileromani* should have a higher
332 chance to survive in a modified habitat, as they can reside in alternative hosts.

333 Taking prevalence and abundance of each helminth species into account, we were able to
334 see how the intestinal parasite community is altered in modified ecosystems. Contrary to the
335 increase of species diversity in the urban area, the prevalence of *S. agraria*, and cestodes was
336 significantly lower, of which the latter is particularly reasonable. Parasites with complex
337 lifecycles requiring more than one host such as cestodes, are highly susceptible to local
338 extirpation when biodiversity of free-living species decreases [46]. The loss of a single one of its
339 hosts will prevent persistence within the environment [46]. Because the loss of suitable habitat is
340 highest within urban areas [47], it increases the potential loss of a necessary intermediate hosts.
341 Although we combined all cestodes into a single group for analysis, this logic holds true for all
342 species due to their similar life histories that depend on arthropod intermediate hosts. Therefore,
343 the results would likely remain unchanged when separated into individual species, especially
344 with only a single host being infected within the urban park.

345 Interestingly, both species of the genus *Syphacia* had highly altered prevalence and
346 abundance in both modified ecosystems, but in opposite ways: *S. agraria* decreased in both
347 prevalence and abundance, whereas *S. emileromani* increased. Because *S. agraria* occurred
348 almost exclusively within the natural area, these differences could be explained by forest
349 fragmentation. Importantly, *A. speciosus* is the main host for *S. agraria* in Hokkaido [48,49],
350 with this helminth rarely being reported from *Apodemus argenteus* and *Apodemus peninsulae*
351 [50]. *S. emileromani*, however, is known to infect multiple sympatric *Apodemus* species [49].
352 Routine population crashes of many small rodents [51], including *Apodemus* spp. in Hokkaido
353 [52], can lead to local extirpation of obligate parasites within forest fragments, as the uninfected
354 hosts may be the ones to persist. Furthermore, forest fragmentation greatly reduces dispersal of
355 small rodents [53,54], preventing the reintroduction of helminths. Therefore, more generalist

356 ~~parasites such as *S. emileromani* should have a higher chance to survive in a modified habitat, as~~
357 ~~they can reside in alternative hosts.~~

358 ~~A possibility explaining the opposite trends in generalist helminth species is interspecific~~
359 ~~competition. Not all helminths within this study were negatively affected by ecosystem~~
360 ~~modification, however, as the abundance of *H. spumosa* slightly increased within the rural forest~~
361 ~~fragments, and both the prevalence and abundance of *H. kurilensis* increased with it highest in~~
362 ~~the urban park. Because the abundance of *H. speciosus* decreased dramatically in both the urban~~
363 ~~and rural sites, and it resides within the same location of the small intestine as *H. kurilensis*,~~
364 ~~interspecific competition, or lack thereof, may explain the apparent dominance of *H. kurilensis*.~~

365 A single host could be considered a micro ecosystem for parasites with limited space and
366 resources [56], ~~which may partially explain the trend seen in *H. speciosus* and *H. kurilensis* in~~
367 ~~the present study. Both are generalists of *Apodemus* spp., and reside within the same location of~~
368 ~~the small intestine. Although prevalence of *H. speciosus* remained high in the rural, and urban~~
369 ~~ecosystems, the lower it's abundance, the higher *H. kurilensis* became in both prevalence and~~
370 ~~abundance, most noticeably in the urban site (Fig. 2 and Tables 1 and 3). Despite *H. speciosus*'~~
371 small size (1.5 to 3.5mm in length), when hundreds of individuals are present as seen in our
372 study, it severely limits available space for the significantly larger *H. kurilensis* (10.6 to 13.8 mm
373 in length). Furthermore, *Heligmosomoides polygyrus*, a species closely related to *H. kurilensis*
374 has been shown to thrive in environments subjected to pollutants such as heavy metals [55].
375 Therefore, it is plausible that *H. kurilensis* has a competitive advantage within polluted
376 environments such as cities and agricultural areas. There may even be a synergistic effect if *H.*
377 *speciosus* is negatively affected by the same pollutants or other factors associated with
378 ecosystem modification, although no study has been done. Therefore, ecosystem modification

379 may not only directly impact intestinal helminths, but also indirectly by altering interspecific
380 competition dynamics. However, the fact we did not find a single host parasitized by *H.*
381 *kurilensis* within the natural area is an oddity, as this helminth commonly infects *A. speciosus*
382 [57]. Therefore, any interpretation of the effects of ecosystem modification on this species must
383 be done with caution until further research is conducted. ~~By looking at the trend of multiple~~
384 ~~helminth species within the same host, particularly those that reside within the same location, it~~
385 ~~can increase our ability to determine potential causes leading to their observed alteration within~~
386 ~~modified ecosystems for which future studies can investigate.~~

387 The observed increase in diversity going from the natural area, to the agricultural forest
388 fragments, to the city park is particularly interesting, as it is opposite of what we found for
389 species richness. However, this increasing trend was the result of a single species of helminth (i.e.
390 *H. speciosus*) dramatically decreasing in abundance, causing an increase in the evenness among
391 all species. Therefore, when it was removed from the diversity analysis, diversity estimates
392 remained relatively similar within all three ecosystem types, although this is still counter to our
393 estimates of species richness. As mentioned above, the reduced richness within the modified
394 environments was caused by the reduced number of cestodes found, and an accidental infection
395 by a nematode species only occurring in the natural forest, all of which are rare events. If our
396 sample size of the host species were to have been larger, we may very well have found more
397 individuals infected with cestodes, thereby increasing species richness. However, prevalence
398 would still be significantly less.

399 Although we found clear but opposite trends in the response of helminth species richness
400 and diversity to anthropogenic ecosystem modification, prevalence and abundance showed a
401 much more varied and complex response. Because ecologists often only think of parasites,

402 particularly endoparasitic helminths, as a source of disease with detrimental effects on wildlife,
403 they fail to consider them as animals in their own right [58]. Such a view has been continuously
404 reinforced due to most studies so far having focused on species of conservation (of their host) or
405 public health concern [59]. However, when parasites are viewed as animals, it should come as no
406 surprise that while many species disappear from, or become rare within anthropogenic modified
407 ecosystems, as seen in this study, others are able to exploit the new environment and thrive,
408 similar to their hosts. In the present study, we found the Shannon–Weiner diversity index to be an
409 inadequate indicator of overall change of the intestinal helminth community of a single host
410 species in modified ecosystems. Comparison of prevalence and abundance, however, is
411 important in elucidating the causes behind altered parasite communities. This is particularly true
412 when looking at closely related species or those that reside within the same location of the
413 intestine, as they can interact with one another or respond differently due to slight differences in
414 life histories. ThereforeFurthermore, it is essential-important to consider the entire helminth
415 community when trying to understand the response of a single species to ecosystem modification,
416 as interspecific competition may influence the outcome. Additionally,Finally, despite the rural
417 agricultural and urban areas undergoing different forms of ecosystem modification, individual
418 helminth species tended to respond to both in a similar fashion (e.g. increasing or decreasing in
419 prevalence in both), though to a greater degree within the urban park. Future studies should
420 utilizese reference sites that are as close to undisturbed as possible, rather than rural areas, when
421 investigating the role that anthropogenic ecosystem modification has in altering parasite
422 communities. In this way, we can begin to develop generalized knowledge on how human
423 activity affects parasites that can then be applied to individual situations or species of
424 conservation or public health concern.

425

426 **Acknowledgements**

427 We thank the reviewers for providing invaluable feedback on earlier versions of the
428 manuscript which helped to improve it immensely. We thank all the members of the Koizumi lab
429 who aided in field work during the summer of 2016. Thanks are given to Dr. Voitto Haukisalmi
430 (Department of Biosciences, University of Helsinki) for his help in identifying the numerous
431 Cestodes. We'd also like to thank Dr. Satoshi Ohdachi (Institute of Low Temperature Science,
432 Hokkaido University) for supplying a majority of the Sherman traps used in this study. The
433 collection of rodents was given by the Obihiro municipal office, as well as the Hokkaido
434 prefectural government (Permit number 617).

435

436 Funding: This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public,
437 commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

438

439 **References**

440 [1] E.C. Ellis, N. Ramankutty, Putting people in the map: anthropogenic biomes of the world,
441 Front. Ecol. Environ. 6 (2008) 439–447. doi:10.1890/070062.

442 [2] K.C. Seto, M. Fragkias, B. Guneralp, M.K. Reilly, A **M**meta-**a**Analysis of **G**lobal **U**rban
443 **I**and **e**Expansion, **C**urr. Sci. 101 (2011) 1435–1439. doi:10.1371/Citation.

444 [3] C.A. Bradley, S. Altizer, Urbanization and the ecology of wildlife diseases, Trends Ecol.

- 445 Evol. 22 (2006) 95–102. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2006.11.001.
- 446 [4] S.H. Faeth, C. Bang, S. Saari, Urban biodiversity: Patterns and mechanisms, *Ann. N. Y.*
447 *Acad. Sci.* 1223 (2011) 69–81. doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.2010.05925.x.
- 448 [5] C.A. Delgado-V., K. French, Parasite-bird interactions in urban areas: Current evidence
449 and emerging questions, *Landsc. Urban Plan.* 105 (2012) 5–14.
450 doi:10.1016/j.landurbplan.2011.12.019.
- 451 [6] M. Alberti, The Effects of Urban Patterns on Ecosystem Function, *Int. Reg. Sci. Rev.* 28
452 (2005) 168–192. doi:10.1177/0160017605275160.
- 453 [7] T. Newbold, L.N. Hudson, S.L.L. Hill, S. Contu, I. Lysenko, R.A. Senior, L. Börger, D.J.
454 Bennett, A. Choimes, B. Collen, J. Day, A. De Palma, S. Díaz, S. Echeverria-Londoño,
455 M.J. Edgar, A. Feldman, M. Garon, M.L.K. Harrison, T. Alhousseini, D.J. Ingram, Y.
456 Itescu, J. Kattge, V. Kemp, L. Kirkpatrick, M. Kleyer, D.L.P. Correia, C.D. Martin, S.
457 Meiri, M. Novosolov, Y. Pan, H.R.P. Phillips, D.W. Purves, A. Robinson, J. Simpson, S.L.
458 Tuck, E. Weiher, H.J. White, R.M. Ewers, G.M. MacE, J.P.W. Scharlemann, A. Purvis,
459 Global effects of land use on local terrestrial biodiversity, *Nature.* 520 (2015) 45–50.
460 doi:10.1038/nature14324.
- 461 [8] U. Mackenstedt, D. Jenkins, T. Romig, The role of wildlife in the transmission of parasitic
462 zoonoses in peri-urban and urban areas, *Int. J. Parasitol. Parasites Wildl.* 4 (2014) 71–79.
463 doi:10.1016/j.ijppaw.2015.01.006.
- 464 [9] S. Liccioli, P. Giraudoux, P. Deplazes, A. Massolo, Wilderness in the ‘city’ revisited:
465 different urbes shape transmission of *Echinococcus multilocularis* by altering predator and

- 466 prey communities, *Trends Parasitol.* 31 (2015) 297–305. doi:10.1016/j.pt.2015.04.007.
- 467 [10] B.L. Lim, D. Heyneman, Host-parasite studies of *Angiostrongylus cantonensis* (Nematoda,
468 *Metastrongylidae*) in Malaysian rodents: natural infection of rodents and molluscs in
469 urban and rural areas of central Malaya, *Ann. Trop. Med. Parasitol.* 59 (1965) 425–433.
- 470 [11] G. Froeschke, S. Matthee, Landscape characteristics influence helminth infestations in a
471 peri-domestic rodent--implications for possible zoonotic disease., *Parasit. Vectors.* 7
472 (2014) 393. doi:10.1186/1756-3305-7-393.
- 473 [12] K.C. King, J.D. McLaughlin, a D. Gendron, B.D. Pauli, I. Giroux, B. Rondeau, M. Boily,
474 P. Juneau, D.J. Marcogliese, Impacts of agriculture on the parasite communities of
475 northern leopard frogs (*Rana pipiens*) in southern Quebec, Canada., *Parasitology.* 134
476 (2007) 2063–80. doi:10.1017/S0031182007003277.
- 477 [13] V.J. McKenzie, Human land use and patterns of parasitism in tropical amphibian hosts,
478 *Biol. Conserv.* 137 (2007) 102–116. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2007.01.019.
- 479 [14] K.C. King, J. Daniel Mclaughlin, M. Boily, D.J. Marcogliese, Effects of agricultural
480 landscape and pesticides on parasitism in native bullfrogs, *Biol. Conserv.* 143 (2010) 302–
481 310. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2009.10.011.
- 482 [15] V. Aponte, S.A. Locke, M.-L. Gentes, J.-F. Giroux, D.J. Marcogliese, D. McLaughlin, J.
483 Verreault, Effect of habitat use and diet on the gastrointestinal parasite community of an
484 avian omnivore from an urbanized environment, *Can. J. Zool.* 92 (2014) 629–636.
485 doi:10.1139/cjz-2013-0268.
- 486 [16] J. Sitko, G. Zalesny, The effect of urbanization on helminth communities in the Eurasian

- 487 blackbird (*Turdus merula* L.) from the eastern part of the Czech Republic, *J. Helminthol.*
488 88 (2014) 97–104. doi:10.1017/S0022149X12000818.
- 489 [17] D. Hancke, O.V. Suárez, Factors Affecting Helminth Abundances in Synanthropic
490 Rodents of an Urban Environment, *Open Parasitol. J.* 6 (2018) 87–95.
491 doi:10.2174/1874421401806010087.
- 492 [18] K.F. Kellner, L.K. Page, M. Downey, S.E. McCord, Effects of Urbanization on
493 Prevalence of *Baylisascaris procyonis* in Intermediate Host Populations, *J. Wildl. Dis.* 48
494 (2012) 1083–1087. doi:10.7589/2011-09-267.
- 495 [19] J.C. Boren, R.L. Lochmiller, J.F. Boggs, S.T. McMurry, D.M. Leslie, D.M. Engle, H.E.
496 Jordan, Responses of intestinal nematodes in white footed mouse populations to rangeland
497 modifications, *Proc. Okla. Acad. Sci.* 73 (1993) 39–44.
- 498 [20] B.C. Faulkner, R.L. Lochmiller, Ecotoxicity revealed in parasite communities of
499 *Sigmodon hispidus* in terrestrial environments contaminated with petrochemicals, *Environ.*
500 *Pollut.* 110 (2000) 135–145. doi:10.1016/j.apcata.2014.03.040.
- 501 [21] C. Fischer, L.A. Reperant, J.M. Weber, D. Hegglin, P. Deplazes, *Echinococcus*
502 *multilocularis* infections of rural, residential and urban foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) in the canton
503 of Geneva, Switzerland, *Parasite.* 12 (2005) 339–346. doi:10.1051/parasite/2005124339.
- 504 [22] R.H. Yahner, Changes in Wildlife Communities Near Edges, *Conserv. Biol.* 2 (1988)
505 333–339. doi:10.1111/j.1523-1739.1988.tb00197.x.
- 506 [23] S. Klimpel, M. Förster, G. Schmahl, Parasite fauna of the bank vole (*Clethrionomys*
507 *glareolus*) in an urban region of Germany: Reservoir host of zoonotic metazoan parasites?,

- 508 Parasitol. Res. 102 (2007) 69–75. doi:10.1007/s00436-007-0725-2.
- 509 [24] J.W. McGarry, A. Higgins, N.G. White, K.C. Pounder, U. Hetzel, Zoonotic Helminths of
510 Urban Brown Rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) in the UK: Neglected Public Health
511 Considerations?, *Zoonoses Public Health*. 62 (2014) 44–52. doi:10.1111/zph.12116.
- 512 [25] D. Dwużnik, T. Gortat, J.M. Behnke, A. Gryczyńska, M. Bednarska, A.S. Mikoszewski,
513 M. Kozakiewicz, A. Bajer, Comparison of helminth community of *Apodemus agrarius*
514 and *Apodemus flavicollis* between urban and suburban populations of mice, *Parasitol. Res.*
515 116 (2017) 2995–3006. doi:10.1007/s00436-017-5609-5.
- 516 [26] D.D. De Leon, Helminth Parasites of Rats in San Juan, Puerto Rico, *J. Parasitol.* 50 (1964)
517 478–479.
- 518 [27] E.O. de Araujo, M. de M. Mendes, P.Q. Langone, G. Muller, The helminth parasites of
519 *Rattus rattus* (Linnaeus, 1758) of urban, intermediate and rural environments in southern
520 Brazil, *Neotrop. Helminthol.* 8 (2014) 19–22.
- 521 [28] F. Franssen, A. Swart, F. van Knapen, J. van der Giessen, Helminth parasites in black rats
522 (*Rattus rattus*) and brown rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) from different environments in the
523 Netherlands., *Infect. Ecol. Epidemiol.* 6 (2016) 31413. doi:10.3402/iee.v6.31413.
- 524 [29] K. M., M. I, B. S., P. A., P. Z., G. Z., K. D., Intestinal helminths infection of rats (*Rattus*
525 *norvegicus*) in the Belgrade area (Serbia): the effect of sex, age and habitat, *Parasite J. La*
526 *Société Française Parasitol.* 18 (2011) 189–196.
- 527 [30] J.K. Udonsi, Helminth parasites of wild populations of the black rat, *Rattus rattus* (L.),
528 from urban, rural residential and other ecological areas of Nigeria, *Acta Parasitol.* 34

- 529 (1989) 107–116.
- 530 [31] C. Calegari-Marques, S.B. Amato, Urbanization breaks up host-parasite interactions: A
531 case study on parasite community ecology of rufous-bellied thrushes (*Turdus rufiventris*)
532 along a rural-urban gradient, *PLoS One*. 9 (2014). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103144.
- 533 [32] L.A. Reperant, D. Hegglin, C. Fischer, L. Kohler, J.M. Weber, P. Deplazes, Influence of
534 urbanization on the epidemiology of intestinal helminths of the red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) in
535 Geneva, Switzerland, *Parasitol. Res.* 101 (2007) 605–611. doi:10.1007/s00436-007-0520-
536 0.
- 537 [33] D.T. Richards, S. Harris, J.W. Lewis, Epidemiological studies on intestinal helminth
538 parasites of rural and urban red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) in the United Kingdom, *Vet.*
539 *Parasitol.* 59 (1995) 39–51. doi:10.1016/0304-4017(94)00736-V.
- 540 [34] T. Hirota, T. Hirohata, H. Mashima, T. Satoh, Y. Obara, Population structure of the large
541 Japanese field mouse, *Apodemus speciosus* (Rodentia: Muridae), in suburban landscape,
542 based on mitochondrial D-loop sequences, *Mol. Ecol.* 13 (2004) 3275–3282.
543 doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2004.02324.x.
- 544 [35] J.J. Sato, T. Kawakami, Y. Tasaka, M. Tamenishi, Y. Yamaguchi, A Few Decades of
545 Habitat Fragmentation has Reduced Population Genetic Diversity: A Case Study of
546 Landscape Genetics of the Large Japanese Field Mouse, *Apodemus speciosus*, *Mammal*
547 *Study.* 39 (2014) 1–10. doi:10.3106/041.039.0102.
- 548 [36] E. Shochat, P.S. Warren, S.H. Faeth, N.E. McIntyre, D. Hope, From patterns to emerging
549 processes in mechanistic urban ecology, *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 21 (2006) 186–191.

550 doi:10.1016/j.tree.2005.11.019.

551 [37] K.E. Medley, M.J. McDonnell, S.T.A. Pickett, Forest-Landscape Structure along an
552 Urban-To-Rural Gradient, *Prof. Geogr.* 47 (1995) 159–168. doi:10.1111/j.0033-
553 0124.1995.00159.x.

554 [38] K. Tatsukawa, O. Murakami, On the food utilization of the Japanese wood mouse
555 *Apodemus speciosus* (Mammalia: Muridae), *Physiol. Ecol. Japan.* 17 (1976) 133–144.

556 [39] T. Oka, Home range and mating system of two sympatric field mouse species, *Apodemus*
557 *speciosus* and *Apodemus argenteus*, *Ecol. Res.* 7 (1992) 163–169.
558 doi:10.1007/BF02348495.

559 [40] K. Uchida, K. Suzuki, T. Shimamoto, H. Yanagawa, I. Koizumi, Seasonal variation of
560 flight initiation distance in Eurasian red squirrels in urban versus rural habitat, *J. Zool.*
561 (2015) n/a-n/a. doi:10.1111/jzo.12306.

562 [41] J.L. Anders, K. Uchida, M. Watanabe, I. Tanio, T. Shimamoto, M. Hamada, H. Yanagawa,
563 I. Koizumi, Usefulness and limitation of a tiny light-temperature logger to monitor daily
564 activity levels of arboreal squirrels in temperate areas, *Mammal Res.* (2017).
565 doi:10.1007/s13364-017-0326-0.

566 [42] P. Morris, A review of mammalian age determination methods, *Mamm. Rev.* 2 (1972) 69–
567 104. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2907.2012.00221.x.

568 [43] M. Nakao, T. Waki, M. Sasaki, J.L. Anders, D. Koga, M. Asakawa, *Brachylaima*
569 *ezohelicis* sp. nov. (Trematoda: Brachylaimidae) found from the land snail *Ezohelix*
570 *gainesi*, with a note of an unidentified *Brachylaima* species in Hokkaido, Japan, *Parasitol.*

- 571 Int. 66 (2017) 240–249. doi:10.1016/j.parint.2017.01.015.
- 572 [44] V. Haukisalmi, A. Ribas, K. Junker, A. Spickett, S. Matthee, H. Henttonen, J. Jrijer, A.
573 Halajian, J.L. Anders, M. Nakao, Molecular systematics and evolutionary history of
574 catenotaeniid cestodes (Cyclophyllidea), *Zool. Scr.* (2017) 1–10. doi:10.1111/zsc.12272.
- 575 [45] H.G. Washington, Diversity, Biotic and similarity indices: a review with special relevance
576 to aquatic ecosystems, *Waters Resouces.* 18 (1984) 653–694. doi:10.1016/0043-
577 1354(84)90164-7.
- 578 [46] G. Heinze, M. Schemper, A solution to the problem of separation in logistic regression,
579 *Stat. Med.* 21 (2002) 2409–2419. doi:10.1002/sim.1047.
- 580 [47] K. Chaisiri, W. Chaeychomsri, J. Siruntawineti, F. Bordes, V. Herbreteau, S. Morand,
581 Human-dominated habitats and helminth parasitism in Southeast Asian murids, *Parasitol.*
582 *Res.* 107 (2010) 931–937. doi:10.1007/s00436-010-1955-2.
- 583 [48] T.R. Gillespie, C.A. Chapman, E.C. Greiner, Effects of logging on gastrointestinal
584 parasite infections and infection risk in African primates, *J. Appl. Ecol.* 42 (2005) 699–
585 707. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2664.2005.01049.x.
- 586 [49] D.J. Marcogliese, Parasites of the superorganism: Are they indicators of ecosystem
587 health?, *Int. J. Parasitol.* 35 (2005) 705–716. doi:10.1016/j.ijpara.2005.01.015.
- 588 [50] K.D. Lafferty, Biodiversity loss decreases parasite diversity: theory and patterns, *Philos.*
589 *Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 367 (2012) 2814–2827. doi:10.1098/rstb.2012.0110.
- 590 [51] K. MacKenzie, Parasites as pollution indicators in marine ecosystems: A proposed early
591 warning system, *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 38 (1999) 955–959. doi:10.1016/S0025-

592 326X(99)00100-9.

593 [52] M.L. McKinney, Urbanization, Biodiversity, and Conservation, *Bioscience*. 52 (2002)
594 883–890.

595 [53] M. Nakao, M. Sasaki, T. Waki, J.L. Anders, H. Katahira, *Brachylaima asakawai* sp. nov.
596 (Trematoda: Brachylaimidae), a rodent intestinal fluke in Hokkaido, Japan, with a finding
597 of the first and second intermediate hosts, *Parasitol. Int.* 67 (2018) 565–574.
598 doi:10.1016/j.parint.2018.04.010.

599 [54] H. Hasegawa, M. Asakawa, Parasitic helminth fauna of terrestrial vertebrates in Japan,
600 *Prog. Med. Parasitol. Japan.* 7 (2003) 129–145.

601 [55] K. Tersago, W. De Coen, J. Scheirs, K. Vermeulen, R. Blust, D. Van Bockstaele, R.
602 Verhagen, Immunotoxicology in wood mice along a heavy metal pollution gradient,
603 *Environ. Pollut.* 132 (2004) 385–394. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2004.05.029.

604 [56] E.C. Rynkiewicz, A.B. Pedersen, A. Fenton, An ecosystem approach to understanding and
605 managing within-host parasite community dynamics, *Trends Parasitol.* 31 (2015) 212–221.
606 doi:10.1016/j.pt.2015.02.005.

607 [57] M. Asakawa, Perspectives of host-parasite relationships between rodents and nematodes
608 in Japan, *Mammal Study.* 30 (2005) S95–S99. doi:10.3106/1348-
609 6160(2005)30[S95:POHRBR]2.0.CO;2.

610 [58] D.J. Marcogliese, M. Pietroock, Combined effects of parasites and contaminants on animal
611 health: Parasites do matter, *Trends Parasitol.* 27 (2011) 123–130.
612 doi:10.1016/j.pt.2010.11.002.

613 [59] A. Gomez, E. Nichols, Neglected wild life: Parasitic biodiversity as a conservation target,
614 Int. J. Parasitol. Parasites Wildl. 2 (2013) 222–227. doi:10.1016/j.ijppaw.2013.07.002.

615

616

617

618 Figure Legends

619 **Fig. 1:** Line graph depicting Shannon-Wiener Diversity index estimates for intestinal helminths
620 in all three ecosystem types. Solid line includes all species while dashed line omits *H. speciosus*.

621

622 **Fig. 2:** Line graph depicting a) prevalence and b) log transformed average abundance of ~~the~~ four
623 intestinal helminths with largest differences between them all three ecosystem types. The solid
624 line is *H. spumosa*~~*S. agraria*~~, dashed is *H. kurilensis*, dotted is Cestoda spp. (combined), and dot
625 dash is *H. speciosus*.

626

627 **Supplementary Material 1:** a) Satellite image of the city of Obihiro and surrounding areas with
628 collection sites marked by colored pins, and b) a table with GPS coordinates, trap nights, and
629 number of individuals captured for each site.